

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

Issue No. 436 (19th Year)

Oct. 1, 1964

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Subscription \$3.00 Yearly

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1899 • FOUNDER • 1963

Federal Gov't Usurps States Rights U.S. CONSTITUTION - SWORD INSTEAD OF A SHIELD

Can Constitutional Government survive when the occupants of the agencies created by the States join in a movement to overthrow it and refuse to be "Bound By This Constitution" and the States fail to act to defend Constitutional Limitations?

How can the Constitution be defended when so large a percentage of those who have taken an oath to defend it have joined in a conspiratorial movement to overthrow it?

ROLE OF THE STATES

The States created the three (Federal) agencies of government (Executive, Legislative and Judicial) and limited their powers. These agencies are the creatures of the States and were not parties to the Constitutional contract which created them. All powers not granted to these agencies were retained by the States.

The States have faltered and failed to defend the Constitutional contract into which they entered. They have bowed as principals to the illegal acts of their agents acting beyond their authority.

U. S. CONSTITUTION

—A SWORD INSTEAD OF A SHIELD


The States which created our Constitutional system of limited powers intended that the limitations they placed on the various units of government should operate as a shield against would-be tyrants and usurpers. Strangely enough, we are now witnessing a movement by the occupants of the federal agencies in which they are using the Constitutional contract as a sword to destroy their creators and human freedom which the States intended to protect and shield by this contract.

The lawless conditions, including Little Rock, Oxford and Tuscaloosa are the inevitable consequence of the lawless action by the occupants of Federal agencies of government.

If the States should take positive action they could compel the occupants of the three Federal agencies to either conform to the Constitutional mandates or force them to show that they are determined to overthrow the Constitution. Occupants of Federal agencies are not engaged in obeying law, nor in enforcing it, nor in interpreting it, but in overthrowing law.

At long last some of the States are beginning to understand that they have been remiss in not seeing to it that they who were the masters of their self-created servant, the Federal government, have permitted their servant to become their master.

The Alabama State Legislature has called the attention of her 49 sister states to the grave danger to the maintenance of internal law and order which will result from attempts of the Federal government to justify enforcement of the unlawful and illegal provisions of the "Civil Rights Act of 1964" by blatant distortions of the U. S. Constitution or equally dangerous adherence to the United Nations Charter.



Governor George C. Wallace

"Our efforts will require vision, determination, courage, selflessness and a complete dedication to high principles."
—Gov. Wallace

On August 4, 1964, three proposed bills were placed in the hands of many Alabama State Legislators. The first proposed bill declared Public Law No. 88-352 (1964 Civil Rights Act) to be unlawful and unconstitutional, and anyone caught enforcing it in the State of Alabama would be subject to a maximum of \$10,000 fine, 5 years in jail, or both. Proposed Bill No. 2 declared all judicial and legislative acts based on the illegally-ratified 14th Amendment to be "null and void for lack of Constitutional authority." The third bill proposal was "to rescind and revoke membership of the United States and the State of Alabama in the United Nations . . ."

The House of Representatives, Legislature of Alabama in Special Session August, 4-28, 1964, passed the first proposed bill, "Alabama Civil Riots Prevention Act of 1964" (H. B. 285) UNANIMOUSLY on August 25, 1964 by a vote of 76 to 0.

H. B. 285. To use the final peaceful and lawful means available to the people of the sovereign State of Alabama, to void unconstitutional legislation passed by members of the U. S. Congress, to clarify the law within the State of Alabama which has been confused by unconstitutional and illegal actions of members of the United States Supreme Court, the federal Executive Branch and finally the U. S. Congress itself, to correct distortions of the basic Law of the Land, to eliminate arrogations of power by federal agencies which power was not "delegated by the Constitution nor prohibited by it to the States," to revoke usurpations by the Federal Government of power retained under the Constitution of the United States by the State of Alabama and the people thereof, to void within the State of Alabama the Public Law No. 88-352, so-called "The Civil Rights Act of 1964."

However, the "joy was short-lived." The Wetumpka (Ala.) Herald of Sept. 3, 1964 related how certain Alabama Senators stalled the passage and killed H. B. 285 ("The State of Alabama Civil Riots Prevention Act"), although 18 Senators (a majority) had previously endorsed an identical bill.

It is obvious that great pressure was placed on certain Senators to stall H. B. 285 and adjourn without passing this vital legislation. At least four long-suspected members or tools of the Anti-Defamation League (A.D.L.) of B'nai B'rith stood watch in the Capitol Rotunda and were seen to converse with certain Senators who were CONSIDERED OPPOSED to passage of the Alabama Civil Riots Prevention Act of 1964.

Law and order could have been easily maintained in Alabama with the passage of H. B. 285, and her sister states could have profited by her example. Any loss of life and property in Alabama as a result of Federal attempted enforcement of the so-called "Civil Rights Act of 1964" may be justly placed upon the heads of certain Alabama State Senators and these same forces and individuals who undoubtedly killed the "Liberty Amendment" in the Alabama Senate in 1963.

The people of Alabama do not have to go to Washington to get betrayed!

Anyone desiring complete story of this Alabama betrayal, send stamped, self-addressed envelope to Elmore County White Citizens Council, Wetumpka, Alabama.

"This Alabama — this Legislature has a continuing duty to stand as a bulwark in behalf of constitutional government. Let us assume the mantle of leadership — let us act always as the guardian of the principles of our people — of our region — and of our nation.

No greater service can be rendered our people than to recognize our own innate leadership abilities and to exercise these abilities in the best interest of our country.

Our efforts will require vision, determination, courage, selflessness and a complete dedication to high principles. Let us move forward together with the knowledge that ultimate victory is ours." —Gov. Wallace

NULLIFY 14th AMENDMENT

N. J. AGAINST REAPPORTIONMENT

New Jersey Senate President, Charles E. Sandman, Jr. (R) in an opening address to the 17th annual National Legislative Conference called for a recommendation to Congress proposing that the recent Supreme Court decision on reapportionment be nullified by constitutional amendment.

Sandman said the history of the 14th Amendment showed that neither those who proposed, nor those who ratified the amendment, believed it would limit their powers to apportion their legislatures as they saw fit. He said one of the basic concepts of the bi-cameral legislature was to insure territorial as well as population representation.